

# Council of Accountability Court Judges



## Interpreting the CACJ Accountability Court Quarterly Program Report

The Accountability Court Quarterly Report is a descriptive overview of an individual accountability court program. It does not represent a formal evaluation. The report is reflective of recent data submitted from a specific court over a three-month time period. Basic demographics and data related to accountability court standards are displayed in the graphs and charts. If no data were reported, then “Data Not Reported” will be shown. This brief overview recommends the best way to interpret the report.

The title of your report is the official name of your court followed by the fiscal year and quarter from which the data were compiled. The report date is the date the report was generated. The quarterly program report will only include data from this quarter.

**Participant’s Counties of Residence** - is generated from the participant residence county reported by the court in their quarterly data submission. A county outside of your circuit may be displayed if a participant served by your program lives outside of the circuit.

**Total Participants Submitted** – is the total number of participants submitted in the quarterly submission through your case management system and received by CACJ in the state repository.

**Records Reported** – is the number of participant records received for that data point.

- Please note: If any of the following data is lower than the Total Participants Submitted, then that means these data were not received for all participants. For example. If Total Participants Submitted is 50, and the Records Reported for Participant Gender is 45, then gender is missing for 5 of the reported records for this quarter. You should review all Records Reported numbers to ensure all data is received for all participants submitted. Depending on your court type, some fields will not be expected to match, such as military service.

**Active Participants Reported** – is the total number of active and active residential participants as per the current status reported.

**Participant Gender** – The graph shows the total number and percentage of each gender (Male, Female, Transgender) in your program.

**Participant Age** – The graph shows the total number and percentage of participants’ ages, by range: 0-17, 18-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64. Age is calculated from the participant’s date of birth.

**Participant Race** – The graph shows the percentage of participants who are American Indian, Asian, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, Middle Eastern or North African, Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian, White, Other, Two or more/mixed. The total number reported for each is in parentheses next to the percentage.

**Education Level** – This graph depicts the percentage of participants' highest level of education achieved: Elementary, Middle, Some High School, High School/GED, Some College, Associates Degree, Bachelors Degree, Professional or Graduate Degree.

**Income Level** – This graph depicts the percent distribution of participants’ dollar amount of annual income earned in the past year, by range: No income, Under \$999, \$1,000-\$4,999, \$5,000-\$9,999, \$10,000-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$19,999, \$20,000-\$24,999, \$25,000-\$34,999, \$35,000-\$44,999, \$45,000-\$54,999, \$55,000-\$64,999, \$65,000-\$74,999, \$75,000 or higher.

# Council of Accountability Court Judges



**Military Service** – This graph shows the number of participants with reported military service and the respective branch(es) (with percentage make-up). If no participants’ military service was reported, the report will read “Data not reported.”

**Accepted Cases by Referral Type** – This graph shows the type of acceptance for participants in the program (Pre Adjudication, Post Adjudication, Probation Revocation, or Dependency). The graph depicts the percent distribution of accepted cases as well as the number of participants for each referral type.

**Exit Status** – This graph shows the status for participants who left the program during this quarter (Graduated, Completed, Terminated-Non-Compliance, Terminated-Withdrawal, Discharged-Administrative Discharge, Discharge-Transferred, Discharged-Dismissal). If no participants exited the program during this quarter, or if no exit records were received, then this will read “Data not reported.”

**Reentry Partnership Housing** – is the total number of participants who received housing through the Reentry Partnership Program during this quarter.

**Maltreatment Findings** – is the total number of maltreatment dispositions against enrolled participants that occurred during this quarter (FTC-related).

**Alternative Placements** – is the number of participants who had children placed in alternative care during this quarter (FTC-related).

**Family Reunifications** – is the total number participants who were reunified with their children during this quarter (FTC-related).

**Risk Level** – This graph depicts the risk level of each reported participant based on a validated risk assessment tool (Very Low, Low, Low Risk/Low Need, Low Risk/ High Need, Moderate, High, High Risk/Low Need, High Risk/High Need, Very High). The graph includes the percent distribution and number of participants for each reported risk level. A summary table is also provided.

**ASAM Score** – This graph depicts the percent distribution of participants’ reported ASAM Level of Care (0.5, 1.0, 2.1, 2.5, 3.1, 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, 4.0, OTP). A summary table is also provided.

**Diagnosis or Diagnostic Impression** – This graph depicts participants’ primary diagnosis (Anxiety Disorders, Bipolar Disorders, Depressive Disorders, Dissociative Disorders, Neurocognitive Developmental Disorders Neurodevelopmental Disorders; Neurocognitive Disorders, Obsession-Compulsive Disorders, Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders, Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders, Trauma/Stress Related Disorders, Personality Disorders, Substance Use Disorders).

**Treatment Participation Level** – This graph depicts the percent distribution of treatment types (curricula and modalities) participants received in this quarter. The number to the right of the percentage shows how many participants participated in each curriculum during this quarter. The Records Reported number may be larger than the Total Participants Submitted number due to participants receiving multiple treatment types. For example, if MRT is listed at 20%, this means that MRT accounts for 20% of all the treatment the program offers, not necessarily that only 20% of your participants participated in MRT. The number to the right of the percentage will tell you how many participants participated in MRT this quarter.

**Medication-assisted Treatment** – is the number of program participants whom reportedly received MAT services during this quarter.

# Council of Accountability Court Judges



**Primary Drug of Choice** – This graph depicts the percent distribution for participants’ reported primary drug of choice. The total number of participants for each Drug of Choice is listed to the right of the percentage for the program.

**Drug Test Methods** – This graph depicts the program’s primary drug testing method(s).

**Phase Distribution** – This graph displays program participants’ phase distributions. The number of participants in each phase is in parentheses.

**Employment Level by Phase** – This graph depicts the reported level of employment for participants in each phase. The number of participants for each employment level (see color code key below graph), as well as the percent distribution, is included for each program phase. A summary table is also provided. To interpret this table, describe the number listed as a percentage.

Example: If there is a 6.3 under Phase 1 and next to Disability, then 6.3% of participants in Phase 1 are on disability.

**Drug Test Result by Phase** – This graph depicts the reported drug test results for participants in each phase (Negative, Positive, Inconclusive, Approved Positive, Diluted, Excused). The number of participants for each drug test result (see color code key below graph), as well as the percent distribution, is included for each program phase. A summary table is also provided.

Example: If the percentage for phase one negative drug tests results is 90%, that means that 90% of the drug tests completed by phase one participants were negative during the quarter, not that 90% of phase one participants were negative for all of their drug screens during the quarter.

**Income by Phase** – This graph depicts the distribution of income earned by participants in the last year for each phase, by range. The bar graph displays the total number for each income level to the left of the percentage for each income level. The table displays the percentages of each income level by phase.

Inconsistent Findings: You may find instances where the statistics do not mirror your experience and/or internal court records. If so, this is a direct result of missing records and/or missing data on key fields. As a reminder, this data is being studied extensively to identify data collection areas where CACJ can improve the quality and consistency of the data entered and received over time.

In conclusion, CACJ encourages courts to use the report as means to begin adjusting policies and procedures to better serve eligible participants in your jurisdiction. Additionally, the report can assist programs in their efforts to make the court accessible and fair to all that are eligible. Programs should also work to ensure that the data collected and submitted are comprehensive and accurate. If you have any questions or concerns regarding your report, please contact Rachel Gage ([Rachel.Gage@georgiacourts.gov](mailto:Rachel.Gage@georgiacourts.gov)) or Jeffrey Holiday ([Jeffrey.Holiday@georgiacourts.gov](mailto:Jeffrey.Holiday@georgiacourts.gov)) for assistance.